

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,749	11/14/2005	Martin Fischer	07781.0219-00	6922
60668 SAP / FINNEC	7590 07/09/200 GAN, HENDERSON L	EXAMINER		
901 NEW YOR	RK AVENUE, NW	LIN, SHEW FEN		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001-4413	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2166		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/526,749	FISCHER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SHEW-FEN LIN	2166		

	SHEW-FEN LIN	2166						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
I. \(\) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 or CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period re- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply re-ceived by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 \(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t. \) \((a) \)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•						
7. \(\bigcirc \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \(\bigcirc \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed to: Claim(s) rejected: \(\frac{1.315.17-46}{1.315.17-46} \). Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
/Hosain T Alam/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2166								

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants amended claims 1, 3-11, 13, 15, 18-26, 28, and 30-46 with the additional new limitations: "determining whether an identifier (III) of the data object is stored in a transactional lock object; when the ID is not stored in the transactional lock object, storing the ID in the transactional lock object..." The scope of the invention has been changed.

Since the prosecution of this Application is currently at post-final-action stage, the amendment will not be entered as it necessitates a new search and claim analysis to determine the patentability of the amended claims.